UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/614,134	07/08/2003	Kenichi Sakamoto	501.37526CX1	5988	
	7590 11/24/200 STANGER, MALUR	EXAMINER			
1800 DIAGONAL ROAD			LEVITAN, DMITRY		
	SUITE 370 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2416			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/24/2008	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/614,134	SAKAMOTO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Dmitry Levitan	2416		

	Dmitry Levitan	2416	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 19 November 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following r application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth inter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing op. ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ().	date of the final rejection of the FIRST REPLY WAS FII	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sit set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of the corresponding a	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the complete of Appeal has been filed.</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s of the date of e appeal. Since a
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be  (a) They raise new issues that would require further con  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NOT v);	E below);	
<ul><li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or</li></ul>	er form for appear by materially rec	aucing of simplifying ti	ie issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-19 and 21-23</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a ).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation <u>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</u>			
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
	/Dmitry Levitan/		
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2416	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment comprises new limitations for independent claims 2, 6, 10, 13, 17 and 21, which are directed to Layer 2 and Layer 3. These new limitations raise new issues that would require new search.